ON EFFECTIVE SETS OF POINTS IN RELATION TO INTEGRAL FUNCTIONS*

by V. GANAPATHY IYER

1. Introduction. Let f(z) be an integral function and let $M(r, f) = \max_{|z| \le r} |f(z)|$. The order ρ and the type $\kappa(f)$ of f(z) are defined by the relations

$$\rho = \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, f)}{\log r} \; ; \qquad \kappa(f) = \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log M(r, f)}{r^{\rho}} .$$

Let $[z_n]$, $z_n = r_n e^{i\theta_n}$ be a distinct sequence of complex numbers such that

$$0 < r_1 \le r_2 \le \cdots \le r_n \to \infty$$

as $n \to \infty$. Let $\rho_1 > 0$ be any number. The type $\kappa(f, \rho_1, [z_n])$ of f(z) over the set $[z_n]$ is defined by the relation

$$\kappa(f, \rho_1, [z_n]) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log |f(z_n)|}{|z_n|^{\rho_1}}.$$

If f(z) is of order ρ , it is evident that $\kappa(f, \rho_1, [z_n]) \leq 0$ when $\rho_1 > \rho$. If $\rho_1 \leq \rho$, the value of $\kappa(f, \rho_1, [z_n])$ can vary from $-\infty$ to ∞ .

- 1.1. DEFINITION. Let f(z) be a function of order ρ ; we shall say that $[z_n]$ is an effective set, or briefly an *E*-set, for f(z) when $\kappa(f, \rho, [z_n]) = \kappa(f)$.
- 1.2. It is easy to see that any given function f(z) always possesses an E-set; for, on |z| = r, there is at least one point z(r) such that M(r, f) = |f(z(r))|; also, a sequence $[r_n]$, $r_1 < r_2 < \cdots < r_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, exists for which

$$\kappa(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log M(r_n, f)}{r_n^{\rho}};$$

hence $[z(r_n)]$ is an effective set for f(z). A more interesting question is to ascertain whether all functions of a given class specified by some simple property possess an *E-set in common*. In this paper an attempt is made to answer this question.

1.3. We denote $C(\rho, d)$ the class of all functions of order ρ and type less than d where ρ and d are any two given positive numbers. We regard all functions of order less than ρ as of order ρ and minimal type, that is $\kappa(f) = 0$, un-

^{*} Presented to the Society, September 10, 1937; received by the editors January 21, 1937.

less $f(z) \equiv 0$ in which case $\kappa(f) = \kappa(f, \rho, [z_n]) = -\infty$ for all ρ and $[z_n]$. These are included in $C(\rho, d)$ for the purposes of this paper.

2. We shall, first, discuss a few general properties of an E-set for a given class $C(\rho, d)$.

THEOREM 1. In order that a set $[z_n]$ may be an E-set for a class $C(\rho, d)$ it is necessary that

- (i) the exponent of convergence (which we shall speak of as the order) of $[z_n]$ cannot be less than ρ ;
- (ii) if the order of $[z_n]$ be ρ , any function with zeros at $z=z_n$ must be of order ρ and type not less than d unless such a function is identically zero;
 - (iii) the set $[\theta_n]$ of amplitudes of $[z_n]$ must be everywhere dense in $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$.

Proof. If $[z_n]$ were of order $\rho' < \rho$, the canonical product $\sigma(z)$ with simple zeros at $[z_n]$ is of order ρ' and therefore is of order ρ and minimal type so that, by the definition of $C(\rho, d)$

$$\kappa(\sigma) = \kappa(\sigma, \rho, [z_n]) = 0.$$

But $\sigma(z_n) = 0$ so that

$$\kappa(\sigma, \rho, [z_n]) = -\infty$$
.

This contradiction shows that the order of $[z_n]$ cannot be less than ρ . A similar argument proves (ii). To prove (iii), suppose that $[\theta_n]$ is not everywhere dense in $(0, 2\pi)$. Then there is a θ_0 such that $\theta_0 - \delta \le \theta \le \theta_0 + \delta$ contains no θ_n , $\delta > 0$ being sufficiently small. We can suppose without loss of generality that $\theta_0 = 0$ so that the angle $|\theta| \le \delta$ does not contain any point of $[z_n]$. Now, let $H_{\rho}(z)$ be defined by*

$$H_{
ho}(z) = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} \displaystyle \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + rac{z}{n^{1/
ho}}
ight), & 0 <
ho \leq rac{1}{2}, \ \displaystyle \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} rac{z^n}{\Gamma\left(rac{n}{
ho} + 1
ight)}, &
ho > rac{1}{2}. \end{array}
ight.$$

It is known that, if z is outside $|\theta| \leq \delta$,

$$\lim \sup_{|z|\to\infty} \frac{\log\,\big|\,H_\rho(z)\,\big|}{\big|\,z\,\big|^\rho} < \kappa(H_\rho)\,.$$

By considering a function of the form $H_{\rho}(\eta z)$ where η is such that $\eta^{\rho}\kappa(H_{\rho}) < d$, we conclude that $[z_n]$ cannot be an E-set for $H_{\rho}(\eta z)$ which obviously belongs to $C(\rho, d)$. Hence $[\theta_n]$ is everywhere dense in $(0, 2\pi)$. It may be noted that

^{*} For $0 < \rho \le \frac{1}{2}$, see Paley and Wiener, Fourier Transforms in the Complex Domain, p. 79; for $\rho > \frac{1}{2}$, $H_{\rho}(z)$ are Mittag-Leffler's functions, Acta Mathematica, vol. 29 (1905), pp. 101-181.

since an E-set remains an E-set when any other set is added to it, we cannot expect to improve upon the result (i) of Theorem 1.

2.1. We shall now give a general criterion for a set $[z_n]$ to form an *E*-set for a class $C(\rho, d)$. Let $A_n(h)$ denote the circle with center z_n and radius $|z_n|^{-h}$; and let A(h) denote the system of circles $A_n(h)$, $n=1, 2, \cdots$. We prove

THEOREM 2. The set $[z_n]$ of order ρ will form an E-set for $C(\rho, d)$ provided there exists a function g(z) with simple zeros at $z=z_n$ and $h>\rho$ such that the following relations hold:

(i)
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log |g'(z_n)|}{|z_n|^{\rho}} = d;$$
 (ii)
$$\lim \frac{\log |g(z)|}{|z|^{\rho}} = d$$

as $|z| \to \infty$ outside the circles A(h).

2.2. We shall establish two lemmas in the first place.

LEMMA 1. There exists a sequence $[R_n]$, $R_1 < R_2 < \cdots < R_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, $R_{n+1} \le aR_n$, a > 1 being given, such that no circle $|z| = R_n$, $n = 1, 2, \cdots$, cuts any circle of A(h).

Proof. Let b>1. Consider the ring $r \le |z| \le br$. The sum of the diameters of those circles of A(h) whose centers lie in the ring cannot exceed $\sum_{r \le |z_n| \le br} |z_n|^{-h}$ which is less than a fixed positive constant since $\sum |z_n|^{-h}$ converges when $h>\rho$. Therefore if r is sufficiently large, there is at least one circle |z|=R in the ring $r \le |z| \le br$ which does not cut any circle of A(h). Hence, there is an n_0 such that for all $n \ge n_0$, the ring $b^n \le |z| \le b^{n+1}$ contains a circle of the type required. Taking $b=a^{1/2}$ we get the required result.

LEMMA 2. Any function g(z) satisfying the condition (ii) of Theorem 2 is of order ρ and type d.

Proof. Let a > 1 be given and let $[R_n]$ be the sequence of Lemma 1. On $|z| = R_n$, we have, by (ii)

$$M(R_n, g) \leq \exp \left[(d + \epsilon) R_n^{\rho} \right]$$

for $n \ge n_0 = n_0(\epsilon)$. Since M(r, g) is an increasing function of r and $R_{n+1} \le aR_n$, we get for all $r \ge r_0 = r_0(\epsilon)$

$$M(r, g) \leq \exp \left[a^{\rho}(d + \epsilon)r^{\rho}\right],$$

so that $\kappa(g) \leq a^p d$ and since a is any number greater than one, we get $\kappa(g) \leq d$. But obviously $d \leq \kappa(g)$. Hence $\kappa(g) = d$.

2.3. Proof of Theorem 2. Let f(z) be any function of $C(\rho, d)$ and $[R_{\nu}]$ the sequence of Lemma 1 for some a > 1. Let

$$I_{\nu} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|x|=R_{\nu}} \frac{x^{m} f(x)}{g(x)} \frac{dx}{x-z},$$

where $m \ge 0$ is an integer. By (ii) we find that

$$(1) I_{\nu} \to 0$$

as $\nu \to \infty$ uniformly in any fixed circle $|z| \le R$. But

(2)
$$I_{\nu} = \frac{z^{m}f(z)}{g(z)} - \sum_{|z_{n}| < R_{\nu}} \frac{z_{n}^{m}f(z_{n})}{g'(z_{n})} \frac{1}{z - z_{n}},$$

while by (i) the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{z_n^m f(z_n)}{g'(z_n)} \frac{1}{z - z_n} \right|$$

converges uniformly except at the points $z = z_n$. Therefore (1) and (2) give

(3)
$$z^{m} \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z_{n}^{m} f(z_{n})}{g'(z_{n})} \frac{1}{z - z_{n}}.$$

Let $\kappa(f, \rho, [z_n]) = \beta \le \kappa(f) < d$. Choose η so that $0 < \eta < d - \beta$ and λ so that $d\lambda^{\rho} = d - \beta - \eta$. Let $\chi(z) = c_0 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \cdots$ be any integral function of order ρ and type not exceeding $d\lambda^{\rho}$. Then by (i) the double series

$$\sum_{(m,n)} \left| \frac{c_m z_n^m f(z_n)}{g'(z_n)} \frac{1}{z-z_n} \right|$$

converges uniformly except at $z = z_n$, so that (3) gives

(4)
$$\frac{f(z)\chi(z)}{g(z)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(z_n)\chi(z_n)}{g'(z_n)} \frac{1}{z - z_n}.$$

In (4) we can take $\chi(z) = g(\lambda z)$ since in this case $\kappa(\chi) = d\lambda^{\rho}$ by Lemma 2. So (4) gives

(5)
$$f(z) = \frac{g(z)}{g(\lambda z)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(z_n)g(\lambda z_n)}{g'(z_n)} \frac{1}{z - z_n}$$

Let $A_{\lambda}(h)$ denote the circles around the zeros of $g(\lambda z)$ similar to A(h). Then, given a > 1, we can, just as in Lemma 1, choose a sequence $[R_n]$, $R_{n+1} \le aR_n$, such that the circles $|z| = R_n$ do not cut any circle of either A(h) or $A_{\lambda}(h)$. Using (i), (ii) and the choice of λ , we get from (5),

(6)
$$M(R_n, f) \le \exp \left[(d - d\lambda^{\rho} + \epsilon) R_n^{\rho} \right]$$

for $n \ge n_0 = n_0(\epsilon)$. Starting from (6), an argument of the type used in Lemma 2

shows that $k(f) \le d - d\lambda^{\rho} = \beta + \eta$ and since η is subject to the sole restriction $0 < \eta < d - \beta$ we get $\kappa(f) \le \beta$. Since $\beta \le \kappa(f)$, we get $\kappa(f) = \beta$ which is the result required.

2.4. In some cases it is possible to conclude that the relation (i) of Theorem 2 follows from (ii). The circles of A(h) determine a sequence of non-overlapping domains $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_n, \dots$. Let p_n denote the number of points of $[z_n]$ lying in D_n . We shall prove

LEMMA 3. If $p_n \leq P$, a fixed positive number, then (ii) of Theorem 2 involves (i).

Proof. Let z_n be contained in D_{q_n} . Let

$$P_n(z) = \prod_{z_{\nu} \subset D_{q_n}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{z_{\nu}}\right),\,$$

and

$$g(z) = P_n(z)Q_n(z).$$

The greatest and the least distances of the boundary of D_{q_n} from the origin lie in the interval $(|z_n| - H, |z_n| + H)$ where $H = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |z_n|^{-h}$. Since the degree of $P_n(z)$ does not exceed P, we have

$$\lim \frac{\log |P_n(z)|}{|z|^{\rho}} = 0,$$

as $|z| \to \infty$ outside the domains D_{ν} , uniformly in n. Therefore on the boundary of D_{q_n} , we have by (ii)

$$(7) \quad \exp\left[(d-\epsilon)(\left|z_{n}\right|-H)^{\rho}\right] \leq \left|Q_{n}(z)\right| \leq \exp\left[(d+\epsilon)(\left|z_{n}\right|+H)^{\rho}\right].$$

Since $Q_n(z)$ does not vanish in D_{q_n} , (7) holds in the interior of D_{q_n} , in particular, at $z = z_n$. Hence

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\log |Q_n(z_n)|}{|z_n|^{\rho}}=d.$$

Moreover

$$g'(z_n) = P_n'(z_n) O_n(z_n),$$

and arguing as before we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log |g'(z_n)|}{|z_n|^{\rho}} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log |Q_n(z_n)|}{|z_n|^{\rho}} = d.$$

So the lemma is proved.

3. Using Theorem 2, we shall set up an E-set for a given class $C(\rho, d)$. We first establish the following

LEMMA 4. Let $\rho = 2/\alpha$ and

(8)
$$\sigma_{\rho}(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z^n}{n^{\alpha n}}\right).$$

Then $\sigma_{\rho}(z)$ is an integral function of order ρ satisfying (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2, with $d = \alpha/4 = 1/(2\rho)$.

Proof. If we prove (ii) with some $h > \rho$, (i) will follow from Lemma 3 since the circles of A(h) are obviously non-overlapping after a certain stage. Let $\rho > 0$ be any integer and let z lie in the ring $\rho^{\alpha} \le |z| \le (\rho + 1)^{\alpha}$.

(9)
$$\sigma_{p}(z) = \left(1 - \frac{z^{p}}{p^{\alpha p}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{z^{p}}{(p+1)^{\alpha(p+1)}}\right) F_{p}(z),$$

where

(10)
$$F_p(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{p-1} \left(1 - \frac{z^n}{n^{\alpha n}}\right) \prod_{n=n+2}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z^n}{n^{\alpha n}}\right) = S_1 \times S_2,$$

say. We have

$$\log |S_1| = \frac{1}{2}p(p-1)\log |z| - \alpha \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} n \log n + \log \prod_{n=1}^{p-1} \left| 1 - \frac{n^{\alpha n}}{z^n} \right|$$

$$= \frac{\alpha}{4} p^2 + O(p \log p) + \log \prod_{n=1}^{p-1} \left| 1 - \frac{n^{\alpha n}}{z^n} \right|$$

$$= \frac{\alpha}{4} |z|^{2/\alpha} + O(|z|^{1/\alpha} \log |z|) + \log \prod_{n=1}^{p-1} \left| 1 - \frac{n^{\alpha n}}{z^n} \right|.$$

Now, since $p^{\alpha} \le |z| \le (p+1)^{\alpha}$, we have

(12)
$$\prod_{n=1}^{p-1} \left(1 - \frac{n^{\alpha n}}{p^{\alpha n}} \right) \leq \prod_{n=1}^{p-1} \left| 1 - \frac{n^{\alpha n}}{z^n} \right| \leq \prod_{n=1}^{p-1} \left(1 + \frac{n^{\alpha n}}{p^{\alpha n}} \right).$$

Using the fact that $(1+1/x)^x$ steadily increases and $(1-1/x)^{-x}$ steadily decreases to e as x varies in $0 < x < \infty$, we get

$$\left(\frac{n}{p}\right)^{\alpha n} = \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{p-n}{p}\right)^{p/(p-n)} \right\}^{\alpha n(p-n)/p} \le \exp\left[-\frac{\alpha n(p-n)}{p}\right]$$
$$\le \exp\left[-\frac{\alpha}{2} \cdot \min(n, p-n)\right].$$

Therefore (12) gives

$$0 < a = \left\{ \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{-\alpha n/2}) \right\}^{2} \le \prod_{n=1}^{p-1} \left| 1 - \frac{n^{\alpha n}}{z^{\alpha n}} \right| \le \left\{ \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + e^{-\alpha n/2}) \right\}^{2} = b,$$

so that by (11),

(13)
$$\log |S_1| = \frac{\alpha}{4} |z|^{2/\alpha} + O(|z|^{1/\alpha} \log |z|).$$

A similar argument shows that

$$\log |S_2| = O(1),$$

so that (9), (10), and (13) give

(14)
$$\log |\sigma_{\rho}(z)| = \frac{\alpha}{4} |z|^{2/\alpha} + O(|z|^{1/\alpha} \log |z|) + \log \left|1 - \frac{z^{p}}{p^{\alpha p}}\right| \left|1 - \frac{z^{p+1}}{(p+1)^{\alpha(p+1)}}\right|,$$

where $p^{\alpha} \le |z| \le (p+1)^{\alpha}$. Taking $h > \rho = 2/\alpha$, we find from (14) that when z is outside the circles of A(h) but inside the ring $p^{\alpha} \le |z| \le (p+1)^{\alpha}$,

(15)
$$\log |\sigma_{\rho}(z)| = \frac{\alpha}{4} |z|^{2/\alpha} + O(|z|^{1/\alpha} \log |z|),$$

and since p is any integer, we get

$$\lim \frac{\log |\sigma_{\rho}(z)|}{|z|^{\rho}} = \frac{\alpha}{4} = \frac{1}{2\rho}$$

as $|z| \to \infty$ outside the circles of A(h). So the lemma is proved.

3.1. It is easy to see that if $[z_n]$ is an *E*-set for $C(\rho, d)$ then $[\eta z_n]$ is an *E*-set for $C(\rho, d/\eta^{\rho})$. Hence Lemma 4 enables us, in conjunction with Theorem 2, to state

THEOREM 3. Let $\rho > 0$, d > 0 be given. The set of points

$$[(2\rho d)^{-1/\rho} n^{2/\rho} e^{2\nu \pi i/n}], \qquad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots; \nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$

forms an E-set for the class $C(\rho, d)$. In other words, if f(z) is any function of order ρ and type less than d, then

$$\lim \sup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log M(r, f)}{r^{\rho}} = \kappa(f) = \lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log |f[(2\rho d)^{-1/\rho} n^{2/\rho} e^{2\nu \pi i/n}]|}{(2\rho d)^{-1} n^2}.$$

3.2. As a corollary we get, taking $d = 1/(2\rho)$, in Theorem 3,

Theorem 4. If f(z) is any integral function of order less than ρ or of order ρ and minimal type $(\kappa(f) = 0)$, then

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{\log \left|f(n^{2/\rho}e^{2\nu\pi i/n})\right|}{n^2}=0.$$

- 3.3. If $\sigma(z)$ is the canonical product with simple zeros at the lattice points z=m+in, m, n=0, ± 1 , ± 2 , \cdots , it is known from the pseudo-periodic properties of $\sigma(z)$ that (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2 hold for $\sigma(z)$ with $\rho=2$ and $d=\pi/2$. Hence the class $C(2, \pi/2)$ has the peculiarly simple E-set z=m+in, m, n=0, ± 1 , ± 2 , \cdots .
- 3.4. I have shown elsewhere* that a function of $C(2, \pi/2)$ bounded at the lattice-points must be a constant. The question may be asked whether the same is true of an E-set for $C(\rho, d)$ for which the conditions of Theorem 2 hold. That this is in fact the case can be shown by using exactly the same method followed in the case of the lattice points.† So we can state

THEOREM 5. Let $[z_n]$ be a set of points satisfying the conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2. Then any function of order ρ and type less than d bounded at the points $[z_n]$ must reduce to a constant.

3.5. As a particular case of Theorem 5 we get

THEOREM 6. An integral function of order ρ and type less than $1/(2\rho)$ bounded at the points

$$n^{2/\rho}e^{2\nu\pi i/n}$$
, $n=1,2,3,\cdots;\nu=0,1,2,\cdots,n-1$

reduces to a constant.

3.6. It may be noted that an E-set for a class $C(\rho, d)$ is a fixture to that class and is independent of the individual functions of the class. Theorems 2–4 throw a good deal of light on the peculiar behaviour of the functions of $C(2, \pi/2)$ at the lattice points. These latter were, in fact, the starting point of the investigations of this paper. It is very probable that conditions closely allied to those of Theorem 2 are also necessary for an E-set although I have not succeeded in discovering exactly what these conditions are. The question whether (ii) of Theorem 2 always involves (i) is also unsolved.

MADRAS UNIVERSITY, MADRAS, S. INDIA

^{*} Journal of the London Mathematical Society, vol. 11 (1936), pp. 247-250.

[†] Since $f(z_n) = 0$, $\kappa(f) \le 0$; if $\kappa(f) < 0$, $f(z) \equiv 0$; if $\kappa(f) = 0$, then also $\kappa(f^p) = 0$, so that formula (3) holds with m = 0 and $f^p/p!$, $p = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$, in place of f(z). An addition and an argument, as in Lemma 2, will show that $e^{f(z)}$ is of finite order, that is, f(z) is a polynomial which must be a constant since $f(z_n) = O(1)$.